

My name is Ralph Strickland.

Simply put, I chose to run for the Park Hospital District (PHD) board out of a desire to continue to serve my community. Also, as I believe there's demand for transparency, I saw this opportunity to contribute and chose to step-up. Although, I don't have any 'alphabet soup' after my name, nor any licensure, nor a medical filter through which I view the world... I believe that frees me to be more candid and transparent in my dealings with friends and neighbors, and I imagine possibly more so than others seeking the same PHD vacancies.

My present and past experiences working in public health, closely collaborating with nonprofit organizations and building rapport with private citizen stakeholders – prior to, during and following the pandemic – make me a well-rounded candidate for a seat at PHD's board. I think there is value in what I can contribute – given that I am a bit of a nonconformist, free thinker, and a simple man at heart. Finding consensus can be challenging in times of change – like with the possible UCHealth partnership – but I can foster genuine communication, engage Estes Valley stakeholders and broker some much-needed transparency if selected.

Given my experience of being a part of a non-profit's leadership, in two significant positions, I know I positively affected the marketing of our organization, it's footprint in the community, and improved communication related to the services for our target populations to the extent that fund-raising improved, as well as building new relationships with external (corporate) stakeholders within the county and region.

a) There should be a recurring and full accounting as to why the Estes Valley District residents' and business owners' taxes are being collected – nowhere in the Minutes did I see an explanation. b) Items mentioned/annotated in the Regular Monthly meetings, should require monthly updates (with funding impacts) until the action is considered 'complete' – without 'tabling' it indefinitely; c) as Balance Sheets and Statements of Revenue don't provide comprehensive explanations as to how our taxes are spent – there needs to be an Agenda item in the Finance Subcommittee's Meeting for Public Comments on Items ON the Agenda.

This is a simple question with a complex answer. It has been my personal experience, as a patient of hospital systems (in my past) that in such 'mergers' things are both gained and lost – it is the inevitable mark of change. Do we need more services? Yes; but, at what frequencies such specialists can be retained is going to require proper assessments and

more than simple surveys tossed at us. Will the proposed partnership require tax increases to/for the PHD? That will largely depend on what we could expect to get for the taxes we currently provide.